

genius 🚊 insanity



Private Investigative Blogger 4/2a Para St; P O Box 2, Taumarunui, 3946

Ph: 021 118-3296

www.dennis.nz, dennis@dennis.nz

Skype: VICTUSINAMBITUS

14 March 2022

IRD Grievances - Summarised

1. c1980 - DENNIS ARTHUR SMITH

In my early 20s, in or about 1980 I returned a tax return and claimed expenses as a trainee teacher. I filed my tax return accurately, on time and provided all my genuine receipts. The IRD arbitrarily determined that only half of my rebate would be repaid, which it was. The CIR's assessment was that despite having the evidence to the contrary, the proportion of my taxable expenditure of gross income fell outside of IRD norms.

From this I learned that the CIR had more power than me and that his interest in legal compliance and fact was less than mine.

2. **1992 – TENZL**

In 1992 I established Team Electronics Ltd and sold it in 1994 with a NIL Balance Sheet resulting. It had \$3-4k in PAYE & GST owing for the last current trading period. No arrears existed. The buyers agreed to pay it as part of their S&P Agreement but they didn't. Upon their bankruptcy, IRD sued my company for this plus penalty interest. I offered to pay IRD the \$3-4k personally but they rejected my offer and chose to wind up the company instead receiving nothing. The High Court Judge told me that while the IRD's conduct may look crazy to the rest of us, the courts could not overturn the CIR's ruling.

From this I learned that reality is different from the ideal and that the IRD cannot be trusted to work in the countries best interest.

3. **2009 – TTT**

In 2009 I sold up and emmigrated to Samoa. At the time The Thornton Trust had a \$99k

tax credit as a result of selling a property it had owned and rented for more than 20 years. The IRD's approach was that it was "just too bad" that I lost all the trust records when I was 'removed' from Samoa in 2015 thus TTT lost a \$99k tax credit.

From this I learned that sh*t happens and that the IRD could and would take, but not give.

4. 2016 - GO GO GO SAMOA

In 2016 I established Go Go Go Samoa Ltd and intended to take my Samoan family around New Zealand on a working/promotional tour. They chose to stay in Samoa so I renamed the company Writing the Wrong Ltd and proceeded to build a house-truck to run a mobile recording studio among other things. I registered as a Licensed Private Investigator, developed our marketing materials and prepared the business for a taxable activity but IRD's subsequent investigation determined that I was not conducting a taxable activity. A TRA hearing supported the CIR's views with the Judiciary using falsehood, twisting or ignoring facts & common sense.

From this I learned that when the CIR wishes to 'get' one she can be assured that the Judiciary can or will help her.

5. **2007 - DAVID WELSH**

In Q1 2017 following an SFO rejection, I, along with my aging father met with David Welsh, Grad Dip Arts (Crim) I Dip Pol I CFE, Investigations & Advice Team Leader, Inland Revenue at 15 Tanekaha Rd Titirangi to advise IRD of a tax fraud of 498 New Zealand entities totalling a minimum of \$690m but likely more. I had the evidence. He advised that IRD was not interested (it had too many other cases) and when challenged with the illegality inherent, David advised us in the presence of another IRD witness that "crimes were committed every day".

From this I learned that the IRD was more interested in presenting themselves in a positive light than actually seeking to enforce the law.

6. **2018 - CROWN LAW**

In a previous meeting with IRD, investigator Alexey Anohkin commenced his verbal presentation 'on the back foot' explaining why his secret research (which I had found and proved by way of an OIA request) was not actually used in forming the CIR's opinion. I

did not believe him and told him that, so when contronted and he then insisted that this was so, I advised him that I would hold him to that assurance if it ever came to court.

Crown Law did indeed try to use that error in his finding as evidence against me in court, contrary to his strong and repeated assurance otherwise.

From this I learned that nothing spoken or agreed with the IRD, even in a meeting ON THE RECORD with the IRD could be trusted.

7. **2018 - LINDA DZAFERIC**

At that same meeting I listened as Linda Dzaferic, Alexey's immediate supervisor sat directly opposite me at a table but lied to my face as I had established to my satisfaction that the IRD staff had discussed the matters of the meeting with the facilitator prior to my arrival despite their assurance otherwise and that there was no mention of a subject she raised as an excuse in the file notes.

From this I learned that some of IRD's representatives had no compunction to deceive if they felt they could get away with it.

8. **2018 – MARYANNE HANSEN**

After I obtained a copy of my IRD file using the OIA, I established that my complaints had been consciously covered up by the very person that I had complained about. Upon lodging a formal complaint with her Group Team leader, I 'casually' dropped into the conversation that my upcoming book "Inland Revenue UNMASKED!!" would have a beautiful high resolution colour photograph of the named individual on the cover - as a person whom I saw as showing the world "the face of corruption". Within two days of that private conversation, the individual in question removed the photo off multiple variations of her Facebook pages and she deleted her entire LinkedIn profile, a series of acts deliberately designed to prevent the public knowing her identity and role within IRD.

From this I learned how a piece of information potentially devastating to the reputation of the IRD was more important to senior people within the IRD than integrity.

9. 2016 to Current - CORRUPTION & SELF INTEREST

Over the years relating to this matter I have watched with interest as three or four CIR investigators (Robert, Alexey and others), their supervisor (Linda Dzaferic), her supervisor (MaryAnne Hansen), Crown Law (ultimately, Oscar Upperton) then the

Judiciary (AA Sinclair J) dealt with the matters I raised. I have observed ample dishonesty, corruption and self-interest within the IRD.

From this have come to expect bias from the CIR.

10. Current - MEETING ATTENDEES

It is my belief that:

- a) All the attendees know the identity of the current investigator's supervisor;
- b) They also know that this has deliberately not been revealed to me, the tp;
- c) They know why it has been withheld; and
- d) The reason for two of their attendances is because of that identity.

11. RESULT

I do not therefore trust the IRD as an organisation due to the dishonesty of specific individuals who have shown and continue to demonstrate to me bias, along with excessive self-interest.

It is my claim that the CIR's position that the tp is not conducting a taxable activity is wrong, that her position and conduct has contained and still contains impropriety by way of a personal agenda and bias, conduct that has and continues to seriously disadvantaged the tp.

END

Dennis A. Smith

Private Investigative Blogger

Demin Suit.

Taumarunui

57hq (Book March 2021)

dbj3 (FB March 2021)

8shv (Post March 2022)